

# SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND

REGISTRY: Brisbane  
NUMBER : 4836/2014

Plaintiff: **Peter Markan**  
AND  
Defendant: **Queensland Police Service**  
(ABN 29 409 225 509)

## STATEMENT OF CLAIM

### **This claim in this proceeding is made in reliance on the following facts:**

1. As the result of the **SOCIAL CONTRACT** between Queensland Police Service (ABN 29 409 225 509) and myself, where the parties have reached an agreement by virtue of their conduct and in relation to various existing laws in this State, **QPS** was expected to provide the service of 'Protection and support for the Queensland community' – of which I am a member by the fact of being a resident in this State.
2. On the basis of the principle 'that everyone in the community has a social responsibility to help police officers prevent crime and discover offenders' – I presented them the request for the arrest of people committing criminal acts in our community - Margaret A McMurdo, Robert Gotterson, Philip Morrison.
3. Queensland Police Service failed to act and to arrest those criminals – therefore breaching the provisions of the contract.

### **Background of the Claim**

**I.** Supreme Court of Queensland, 21 March 2014, case CA 9591 of 2013,  
Peter Markan v Crime and Misconduct Commission

On the basis of the provisions of Article 14 of The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights - I asked Supreme court judges - McMurdo, Gotterson, Morrison - to provide the evidence of their competence as judges. All 3 failed to provide the evidence. Therefore, I reminded them that according to the rules in anglo law - if there is no evidence introduced in court that means that there is no evidence.

As there was no evidence in Court of them possessing any competency they did not have any legitimacy to be judges according to democratic principles and international law, therefore I publicly and officially declared them to be a kangaroo court.

No objections, NOT A SINGLE WORD OF PROTEST, no legal challenge.

**II.** That declaration was pronounced publicly in the Supreme Court and not in the middle of a desert, therefore it carries with it the authority of the Supreme Court as the legal institution.

McMurdo, Gotterson, Morrison acknowledged, accepted and admitted that they are illegitimate imposters. (kangaroo court - as in dictionary meaning)

I informed - McMurdo, Gotterson, Morrison - that I am treating them just as the public gallery and they are welcome to listen to my issues I have with 'Crime and Misconduct Commission' and then I presented my case in legitimate court without illegitimate judges.

---

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff (s)

Form 16, Version 2

Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999

Rules 22, 146

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Phone:

Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

**III.** On the 01.04.2014 about 5pm I had a phone call from the Supreme Court official informing me that the ‘judgement’ in this matter was published on <http://www.sclqld.org.au/caselaw/QCA/2014/060>  
After verifying that fact it is obvious that those 3 people, at the time - private citizens - choose to abuse their ability to access Supreme Court physical resources and they impersonated judicial officers – which are the criminal offences under sections 96 and 97 of Criminal Code Act 1899 which is THE LAW in Queensland.

**4.** On 07.04.2014 I asked formally Mr. Ian Stewart - Commissioner of Queensland Police Service to arrest, investigate and prosecute those criminals.

**The Offences**

- \* Abuse of human, civil and political rights
- \* Impersonating judicial officers

**5.** After being ignored for almost a month, on 05.05.2014, I sent to him kind reminder that he failed to do his duty. I received no reply, again.

**6.** It is my duty to remind Mr. Stewart and his accomplices that they are not a gang of thugs employed by oligarchical mafia ruling this State but they are supposed to serve the community and its interest.

**7.** By the failure to do his (and the organization) duty, without a legitimate excuse, the Defendant committed the inexcusable offence of the Breach of Contract – they breached their legal obligation and the law resulting in the legal consequences of this claim.

**8.** It has to be noted that the Defendant cynically claims to possess superior to other people knowledge of the laws in this country , therefore there are no mitigating elements of unconscious conduct due to the lack of awareness – on the contrary ! – it is deliberate and premeditated action.

**9.** The Defendant has been involved in unlawful act indicating gross malice and ill will, in defiance of laws and crossing the red line beyond the range of acceptable human behaviour.

**10.** It has to be noted that the Defendant (the organization – QPS) quite often shows particularly cruel zeal persecuting ordinary members of the society, not connected with the Mafia ruling this State, for any imaginary ‘offences’ like eg. driving on an empty country road above so called ‘speed limit’ or merely wearing their favourite piece of clothing.

**11.** The fact of protecting by Mr. Stewart and his accomplices lackeys of the oligarchical mafia ruling this State indicates either cowardice or criminal, antisocial involvement in the workings of the Mafia itself. Some people call it ‘masonic conspiracy’.

**12.** As the Plaintiff I am:

- aggrieved,
- outraged,
- I feel offended and vilified by such behaviour,
- I objects to being exposed to such conduct,
- my private rights and interests have been (or will be) adversely affected by the wrong done and

- I make this claim relying on the provisions of law:
  - “Competition and Consumer Act 2010“ - Schedule 2-  
The Australian Consumer Laws - s 10-1, 18, 20, 21-4b

**As the plaintiff I am claiming the following relief:**

1. Due to the severity of the unlawful conduct the Plaintiff demands that the Defendant makes the public apology for the harm and the distress caused utilizing all major public media available in a clear and highly visible manner.
2. Due to cold and calculated criminal conduct and the unrepentant attitude by the Defendant the Plaintiff request the Court declare the Defendant, Queensland Police Service, as CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION.
3. The Plaintiff request the Court to consider issuing the recommendation that the people associated with Queensland Police Service to be sent to re-education facilities where they will be subjected to hard physical labour to instil in them the respect for the laws and other people in the community.
4. The Plaintiff makes the civil claim and asks the court to order the Defendant to pay the damages assessed as A\$ 10 000 000.13  
(ten million Australian dollars and thirteen cents)
5. The Plaintiff reserves the right to add to this claim the legal costs and others suffered in the course of pursuing this claim and the interest at the commercial rate.

**I am electing the trial by jury.**

Signed: .....

Description: *Plaintiff*

Dated: 26.05.2014

**NOTICE AS TO DEFENCE**

Your defence must be attached to your notice of intention to defend.

**NOTICE UNDER RULE 150(3)**

**The plaintiff claims:**

- \$ 10 000 000.13 ( ten million Australian dollars and 13 cents )
- \$ 0 ( provisional ) for interest; and
- \$ 0 ( provisional ) for costs of issuing the claim and this statement of claim.

The proceeding ends if you pay those amounts before the time for filing your notice of intention to defend ends. If you are in default by not filing a notice of intention to defend within the time allowed, the plaintiff is entitled to claim additional costs of \$( reserved the right to calculate at a later date ) costs of entering judgment in default.

---

STATEMENT OF CLAIM  
Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff (s)  
Form 16, Version 2  
Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999  
Rules 22, 146

---

Name: Peter Markan  
Address:  
Phone:  
Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org