

**COURT OF APPEAL
SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND**

CA NUMBER: 6892/2014
NUMBER : 4836/2014

Appellant: **Peter Markan**
AND
Respondent: **Queensland Police Service**
 (ABN 29 409 225 509)

REPLY TO RESPONDENT ARGUMENTS

The Respondent`s lawyers `arguments` confirm the appalling attitude of Queensland lawyers towards respect for the truth, the laws in this country, and their disgraceful lack of knowledge of some rather basic legal principles.

1. QPS lawyers still maintain the lie that the documents `were lost` within the confinements of the Head Office of an organisation claiming right to `investigate` `crimes` committed by other people.
Not a good indicator of their `investigative` skills, is it?
2. They did not take the kind offer of people from Australia Post to help them to find out what happened right there under their noses, but to follow the same trail they came up with another idea.
3. Since lawyers are mongrels and liars first and morons second, and working for an organisation (in)famous for incapability to say a word of truth (QPS) they uttered something very fitting to both.
A statement which is the evidence of the idiocy of the person making that assertion as a Queensland educated lawyer and a `legal professional`.
QPS is not a corporation (point 24) he (Hickley) says !
4. Since it is a new idea, I have had to include the affidavit listing documents showing that **Police call themselves a corporation in various documents**.
QPS is in fact a separate legal entity of the larger Corporation trading as `State of Queensland` which is formally registered as a foreign corporation.
(US Securities and Exchange Commissions under No. 0001244818)
5. In point 19 lawyers are attempting to lie again – *the **evidence** before his Honour was that the respondent was unaware of the appellant`s claim`*.
It was not `the evidence`, it was a claim which was blasted away on the basis of the evidence from the Australia Post (my Affidavit from 30 June 2014).
6. The excuses made by Queensland Police lawyers (on Police behalf) are ridiculous, childish and without any merits. They are relying on Mafia connections between judiciary and lawyers in order to succeed, as from legal and logical point of view they do not have any rational and valid arguments.

Reply to Respondent Arguments

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Markan v Queensland Police Service

CA NUMBER: 6892/14

Phone:

Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

7. The order made by Applegarth was in total disregard of the evidence, my arguments and the facts formally before the court. 'Discretionary' power of the judge was used to protect his mates in lawyers mafia outfits and to deprive me of my human rights privileges and the right to be protected by the law.
8. One of the aspects of the judgement by Applegarth was that he used the latin formula 'nunc pro tunc' (now for then) to justify Police lawyers arrogant mistake of not applying for the Court's leave before making their application, which is one of the legal requirements.

Being the product of the morons factories, as Queensland universities are known, lawyers do not know the basics of their trade skills.

Applegarth's use of 'nunc pro tunc' shows him to be in the same category.

'A judgment nunc pro tunc can be entered only when the delay has arisen from the act of the court.'

'A judgment nunc pro tunc is an action by a trial court correcting a clerical (rather than judicial) error in a prior judgment.'

This should NOT be applied in the case of 'legal professionals' not knowing the rules.

9. A classical example of how 'independent judges' are supporting lawyers Mafia. ('revised' transcript of the hearing from 23 July 2014 page 3.23)

Applegarth : *Mr Hickey, the application that you seek to make is the one that was filed on 18 July 2014, so you are, effectively, seeking a grant of leave nunc pro tunc?*

Lawyer: *(jumping with joy) Yes, your Honour. Yes.*

Applegarth: *Yes. I grant leave to file that application. Leave is granted nunc pro tunc.*

10. The judiciary in Queensland are members of the same criminal Mafia as lawyers and Police, therefore it is not a surprise that Applegarth is protecting Ms Wadley instead of proposing her to be investigated, charged and prosecuted for the false information in her Affidavit from 18 July 2014.

This is a crime for normal citizens under ss 193 or 194 of Criminal code.

Signed:

Applicant **PETER MARKAN**

Dated: 19.09.2014

Reply to Respondent Arguments

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Markan v Queensland Police Service

CA NUMBER: 6892/14

Phone:

Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org