

**COURT OF APPEAL  
SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND**

CA NUMBER: 6892/2014  
NUMBER : 4836/2014

Appellant: **Peter Markan**  
AND  
Respondent: **Queensland Police Service**  
(ABN 29 409 225 509)

**OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS**

1. I am appealing the decisions of Peter D Applegarth from 23.07.2014
  - the rejection of my request for the default/summary order and the relief against Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart.
  - the rejection of my Application to Strike out the Defendant's application to strike out my Claim and Statement of Claim.
  - the approval of Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart's application to strike out my Claim and Statement of Claim.
  
2. The subject of my application to this Court relates to the issues of:
  - the lack of respect for the human rights in Queensland;
  - racist attitude, discrimination and vilification of people who are not lawyers and not of anglo origin and who represent themselves in courts;
  - denial of the protection by law to such people;
  - treatment of such people by 'public institutions' and courts as **SECOND CLASS CITIZENS**;
  - creation of **TOTALITARIAN REGIME** consisting of lawyers who through stooges infected various democratic institutions and courts are controlling Australian society as self-professed 'master breed'.
  
3. Peter D Applegarth's conduct confirms the appalling level of professional knowledge among Queensland lawyers, which is highlighted when such people are selected to judiciary. Due to flawed selection process and the consequence of policy of not testing the capabilities of candidates, including their literacy skills, people without any verifiable competency are becoming 'judges' in the community.
  
4. Peter D Applegarth's conduct has to be looked at from the following viewpoints:
  - he accepted an invalid application (when lodged) with false supporting Affidavit bringing the whole Queensland legal system in disrepute,
  - he is appearing as a dogged law breaker, law violator - he is **NOT** a law abiding citizen,
  - he shows lack of remorse and shows being an arrogant hypocrite, so keen to criticize and make condemning statements about other people's failures to follow the laws,
  - there appears to be an unlikelihood of Peter D Applegarth being rehabilitated and making a useful contribution to the society,
  - anglo law, as practised in Queensland, is shown to be a one way street – where ordinary people are mercilessly persecuted, but Lawyers Mafia and other Mafia's members disrespecting laws are protected from lawful prosecution.

---

Outline of Arguments  
Peter Markan v Queensland Police Service  
CA NUMBER: 6892/2014

Name: Peter Markan  
Address:

Phone:  
Email: [justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org](mailto:justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org)

5. Mr Applegarth's blunders should ring alarm bells– who in hell selected someone like him - shifty, lying, deceptive, corrupt suburban lawyer - to be a 'judge'.
6. In this case the poor fellow doesn't seem to have a clue that Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 in Part 3 Service in particular cases, 107 Personal service - corporations says that:  
*A document required to be served personally on a corporation must be served in the way provided for the service of documents under the Corporations Act or another applicable law.*
7. Corporations Act 2001, Division 8 - Miscellaneous interpretation rules - 109X, Service of documents – clearly says:  
*1. For the purposes of any law, a document may be served on a company by:*  
*(a) leaving it at, or **posting it to**, the company's registered office;*
8. Therefore, I did the correct thing by serving my Claim by registered mail on Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart, and Mr Applegarth's blabbing that it should have been done according to rule 105 of UCPR1999 is incorrect and he made serious judicial error by rejecting my Claim and Statement of Claim on that basis.
9. The reason for Mr Applegarth's insistence that in this case applicable is rule 105 and not 107 of UCPR1999, could also be due to his desire to protect the sister Mafia organisation, as Masonic Queensland Police Service is sometimes called.  
 Possibly Mr Applegarth forgot the judicial oath, which says '*...without fear or favour, affection or ill will....*' as he chose to stand behind the interests of QPS.  
**It has to be decided if he is just an idiot or transparently crooked and corrupt as a judge.**
10. Another big booboo made by Mr Applegarth is the fact that although my application for the default order against Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart **was not opposed by the other party**, therefore it should be granted automatically as per the basic rules of the adversary legal system, he chose to reject it.  
 The other party lawyers were present in the court and they had opportunity to lodge any objections but they failed to do so.  
 Has Mr Applegarth been paid to protect interests of the other party, after lawyers representing them failed?  
 Is this the conduct of 'an impartial arbiter', 'fair' and 'just' – as anglo judges love to portray themselves?
11. How can anyone employed by the Supreme Court of Queensland as the 'judge', accept something like the Affidavit of Belinda Jane Wadley sworn on 18 July 2014, to be the 'lawful' and 'true' document, after being made aware that it contained false information and was being shown the evidence of its falsehood.
12. Another display of Peter D Applegarth's skills as the 'judge' employed by Supreme Court of Queensland is the fact of accepting the application of Queensland Police Service lawyers which was lodged **without obtaining first the leave of court** as required by law. To maintain the fiction of 'legality' Peter D Applegarth, unlawfully, after the fact, granted leave of court to Queensland Police Service lawyers to lodge their application **effective retrospectively**.

This was done after I pointed out the lack of professional knowledge by those Queensland educated lawyers. This was done by Peter D Applegarth in clear breach of rules 375 and 376 of UCPR 1999.

13. Peter D Applegarth, is using his judicial 'discretion' to rape openly and publicly all the laws and rules other people are expected to obey. He abused Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 by accepting Queensland Police Service lawyers application made in breach of rule 366 (3) – which is the subject to conditions set in rule 447, which in turn is subject to rules set in 443,444,445.
14. The court hearing was in breach of due process of law as guaranteed to me by
  - Observance of Due Process of Law - Statute 1368 - Imperial Act 3
  - Liberty of Subject (1354)which are the valid laws in this State - IMPERIAL ACTS APPLICATION ACT 1984 Queensland Legislation.
15. Anglo legal system (and it's Queensland perverted variant) claims to be based on Roman law, which is considered to be the common denominator of all European legal systems. It is also the subject of international treaties, covenants, obligations and rules - which are ignored by Queensland judiciary - people like Peter D Applegarth.
16. Peter D Applegarth – **refused to openly publish the reasons for his orders in this case.** Over three weeks after he made the verbal orders, I cannot get access to Court file, I cannot get his written order, I cannot get the copy of his reasons. It seems that Peter D Applegarth is attempting to manipulate/falsify public records by 'editing' them to make himself looking saintly and 'wise'.
17. Peter D Applegarth can be arrogantly abusive of 'the due process of law' and avoid to comply with law provisions, as other people have to, because Queensland Legal Industry remains in the hands of a few oligarchical families. They maintain the cosy arrangements of shielding each other for corrupt and selfish purposes and have means of protection by having family members in all positions of real power.
18. As the position implies imposing on others decisions and promoting own infallibility, they are saved from the trouble only by the nature of the feudal anglo 'legal system'. No lawyer would dare to seriously 'challenge' even the biggest idiot or crook among judges because lawyers have 'overriding duty to the court' and if they forget it – their practicing licence will be revoked.
19. There are clearly missing links in the legal arrangements to qualify Queensland and Australia as the 'democratic country' - there is no separation of powers and **there is the hegemony of one single group of people in all areas of real power** - that group can be defined as 'anglos' and more specifically 'lawyers mafia'.
20. There is no mechanism to balance undue influence of that single group - there is no independent judiciary as one of the three pillars of true democracy - **legislature, administration and judiciary**. In fact, anglo and jewish Mafia members selected to top echelons of real power in the State are, almost openly, granted the privilege to be involved in corruption and depravity.

---

Outline of Arguments

Peter Markan v Queensland Police Service  
CA NUMBER: 6892/2014

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Phone:

Email: [justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org](mailto:justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org)

21. In 21<sup>st</sup> century Australia there is still in use the medieval, feudal concept of the creation of dogmas - centred around the concept of infallibility of anglo judges who are presumed to be never wrong, never stupid, never dishonest.
22. 'Chosen' people turn overnight from shifty, crooked, lying lawyers into modern day saints. Even the Catholic church makes more effort before declaring someone a 'saint' than this corrupted, cronyistic, pseudo legal system.
23. **The criticism of the existing situation comes even from more brainy individuals within the system itself.** Harry Gibbs said when he was Chief Justice of the Australian High Court: *'....it is certainly not democratic that decisions on matters of social and economic policy should be made by unelected judges who are not accountable for their decisions except to their own consciences'*.
24. As a victim of a crime committed by people within the legal industry, I have approached this court seeking redress and justice. I have asked the law for protection and the law failed in its duty to protect me and to provide justice thus promoting the idea that the crime, when committed by Mafia, pays.

#### **ORDERS SOUGHT –**

25. I request the Supreme Court **to make the default order** against Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart and to grant me the relief as requested in my application from 30.06.2014.
26. Alternatively, I request the Supreme Court to pronounce the 'order' by Mr Peter D Applegarth from 23.07.2014 in this matter (4836/2014) as null and void – not having any legal consequences, the verdict set aside and to order a new hearing.
27. I am requesting the Supreme Court to select a truly competent, independent and impartial arbiter, conforming to Australian and internationally recognized standards, to preside over the court hearing against Queensland Police Service and Commissioner Ian Stewart.

Signed: .....

Applicant     *PETER MARKAN*

Dated: 15.08.2014