

COURT OF APPEAL SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND

CA NUMBER: 8847/2014
NUMBER : 2980/2014

Appellant: **Peter Markan**
AND
Respondent: **Bar Association of Queensland**

OUTLINE OF ARGUMENTS

1. The subject of my application to this Court involves the issues of:
 - the lack of respect for human rights in Queensland;
 - racist attitude, discrimination and vilification of people who are not lawyers and not of anglo origin and who represent themselves in courts;
 - denial of the protection by law to such people by dysfunctional courts;
 - treatment of such people by ‘public institutions’ and courts as SECOND CLASS CITIZENS;
 - creation of TOTALITARIAN REGIME consisting of lawyers who, through stooges infected various democratic institutions and courts, are controlling Australian society as a self-professed ‘master breed’;
 - enacting of concealed dictatorship when an opinion of an unelected individual is claimed to be binding to 22 million free people in Australia (those so called ‘authorities’ in legal proceedings),
2. The announcement of the Federal inquiry into the dealings of the Queensland ‘government’ and the Queensland judiciary (Select Committee on Certain Aspects of Queensland Government Administration) is a clear indication that I am not alone in my views of that rotten, corrupt, perfidious, pseudo ‘legal system’
‘1(b) the administration of the Queensland courts and judicial system insofar as it relates to cross vesting arrangements, with particular reference to judicial independence and separation of powers;’
3. Due to a flawed selection process and the consequence of the policy of not testing the capabilities of candidates, including their literacy skills, people without any verifiable competency are becoming ‘judges’ in the community.
Alan Wilson’s conduct confirms the appalling level of professional knowledge among Queensland lawyers, which is highlighted when such people are selected to the judiciary.
4. Alan Wilson’s conduct indicates that members of the Queensland judiciary live in a delusional world of their own, detached from the rest of society and from the reality of the changing world. This can be illustrated by the fact that Queensland judiciary (and their ‘homo brutanicus’ collaborators in various administrative outfits) hypocritically and foolishly maintain that somehow Queensland judiciary is ‘independent’?
Like pathological liars they repeat that line in spite of the evidence to the contrary.

Outline of Arguments
Markan v Bar Association of Queensland
CA NUMBER: 8847/2014

Name: Peter Markan
Address:

Phone:
Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

5. One of those pieces of evidence is the fact that their Victorian colleagues are at least trying to gain independence from bureaucratic influences and frankly admit publicly what a 5th grader can figure out for himself.
 ‘Court Services Victoria’ is a modest attempt for which people in Queensland have to wait, as there is no person in the Queensland judiciary with courage, decency and insight to even propose such a development.
 So the corruption, rottenness, depravity and cronyism will continue in Queensland.
“Legal history will be made today when Victorian courts and tribunals gain independence from departmental and political control and become truly independent”
<http://www.supremecourt.vic.gov.au/home/contact+us/media+centre/media+releases/independence+for+victorian+courts+and+vcat>
6. Queensland’s “chosen” people are jealously guarding their undeserved privileges vehemently opposing any meaningful, positive change. These people would only qualify to push trolleys in supermarkets if they were subjected to the real competition in the work force.
 This lack of real ‘independence’ influences ‘judges’ conduct and the need to repay favours to their sponsors and patrons – in this case this is what Wilson is doing for BAQ.
7. Anglo judges have propensity to split a hair in four and dwell ad nauseam about something that has relevance only in their minds, while at the same time ‘forgetting’ or brushing off really important matters (from a legal, moral and ethical viewpoint) for the society. Such conduct can be observed in Wilson’s comments where he talks at length about various issues and then (in 49 and 51) dismisses in a few words the important issue of the lack of respect and the ‘formal’ recognition of human rights in this degenerated ‘legal system’.
8. The human rights of people living in Queensland are contemptuously ignored and disregarded by judiciary and the Mafia ruling this State. With the backdrop of the terror war against the society and the calls that now ‘security’ is more important than freedom, it is a tactic towards enslavement. No wonder that Wilson ignores openly Australian and International laws and treaties, being aware that such abuse of human rights will be tolerated by his colleagues and that the matter will silently be put on the back burner.
9. I have made 4 applications to court however, looking at the 15 pages of his comments about the matters raised in court, there is hardly any mention of my arguments and issues. Instead, he rattles on all the time about matters pointed out by his mates from BAQ and their arguments. Clear indication of his bias against me, that as a non lawyer and non anglo, I do not warrant the same attention as his Mafioso friends.
10. The appalling attitude that a non lawyer (and particularly a non anglo) cannot be shown as having in court valid arguments is evidenced (even to the point of ridiculing himself) by his brushing off (in 49 to 54) clearly legally valid arguments. It culminates in 53, where he calls Magna Carta and other laws merely ‘*historical documents*’.
 Any law written before yesterday IS a ‘historical document’ but it does not mean that they are invalid. All laws are VALID until they are repealed.
11. Has he not heard about ‘Imperial Acts Application Act 1984’ -?, which says at (5) Preserved Imperial enactments:

Outline of Arguments
 Markan v Bar Association of Queensland
 CA NUMBER: 8847/2014

Name: Peter Markan
 Address:
 Phone:
 Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

'Each Imperial enactment specified in Schedule 1 shall, from the commencement of this Act, continue to have the same force and effect (if any) as it had in Queensland immediately prior to the commencement of this Act.'

Magna Carta, "25 Edw I C. 29", has not been impliedly repealed by the passage of inconsistent laws, therefore it remains valid law in Queensland.

12. At (50) he proposes a quite bizarre idea that the Australian Constitution is not the primary law any more, but it is subject to rules made up by creatures like himself.

The Constitutions says:

109 Inconsistency of laws

When a law of a State is inconsistent with a law of the Commonwealth, the latter shall prevail, and the former shall, to the extent of the inconsistency, be invalid.

117 Rights of residents in States

A subject of the Queen, resident in any State, shall not be subject in any other State to any disability or discrimination which would not be equally applicable to him if he were a subject of the Queen resident in such other State.

13. What other laws are there, 'discriminating' against residents of other States, which would be more important than the Australian Constitution? Is the problem related to the fact that he did not have a literacy test when he was selected to be a 'judge'? The sections 109 and 117 of the Australian Constitution ARE saying clearly what he says is somewhere (undefined) else.

Where did he get the power to invalidate the Australian Constitution?

14. I have to mention here a rather obvious thing – **I AM AN AUSTRALIAN CITIZEN and I have the right to be protected by Australian federal laws.** By residing in any State within Australia (in a geographical sense), and because I do not leave Australian soil, I do not stop being an Australian citizen. That is the reason for the existence and validity of ss 109 and 117 of the Australian constitution.

15. Where a Commonwealth law and a state law do not agree with one another, the Commonwealth law is followed. As there is no 'formal' human rights legislation in place in Queensland – the Federal law applies. All State Constitutions and laws are subject to the Constitution of Australia.

Which part of that statement Wilson cannot understand !

16. The illusionary 'restrictions' for Australian citizens, for any reason residing in Queensland, are legally and logically unsound as there is no separate 'Queensland citizenship'. Queensland is not a sovereign entity. The fact that lawyers (at least 30% of 'parliamentarians' are lawyers) created several legal fictions relating to residents of various States (members of the Commonwealth) is purely to create confusions and to enable them to enrich themselves from such confusions.

Laws passed by the Parliament of Australia apply to the whole of Australia.

17. I live, at the same time, in a Federal electorate and a State electorate without lifting a finger. I am also a human being living on the planet Earth. People like Wilson are attempting to propagate rather fanciful illusions that space and time can be warped when it is useful for lawyers/judges to 'prove' their utopian idiocies. When it is convenient for them, they want to consider me as a 'subject' **exclusively** to a State laws in this rotten, degenerate, corrupt State, which deprives me of my human rights, while so often expressing 'concern' about human rights of people living far, far beyond the horizon.

Outline of Arguments

Markan v Bar Association of Queensland
CA NUMBER: 8847/2014

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Phone:

Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

18. I find it extremely offensive to me as a human being (and on behalf of all other people living in Queensland) that a moron like Wilson dares to laugh in my face (in 51) claiming that I do not have any human rights recognized in Queensland therefore he can do whatever he wants because he is an anglo 'judge'. Such arrogant admission of his disrespect for my human rights and the denial of a fair court hearing seems to be one of the main characteristics of the Queensland judiciary.
19. **I do have unalienable human rights since my birth, regardless if Wilson or any other miserable creature 'recognizes' them or not. On the basis of those rights, I defend human dignity against the barbarism represented by the Queensland 'legal system'.**
20. My argument, that pre-invasion Aboriginal laws are still valid (because they have not been lawfully, expressly repealed by the descendants of the FIRST BOAT PEOPLE) is applicable in the case if Wilson claimed legitimacy under 'law of the land' principle, which forms a part of my Magna Carta argument – that lawyers **are not equal** to 99% of people living in Queensland.
Magna Carta (1297) 25 Edw 1 c 29 - 'No free man shall be taken indeed imprisoned, or dispossessed, or outlawed, or exiled, or in any manner destroyed, nor pass over him, nor send over him, except by means of the lawful judgment of his own equals which is the law of the land.
21. Selection of exclusively lawyers to be 'judges' for ALL PEOPLE is the abuse of that valid law by selecting as judges people who placed themselves as a delusionary 'master breed' and are therefore outside of the society **by not being equal to an average man**. Indisputably, it is also in breach of another valid law - **Statute of Monopolies 1623 - 21 James 1 ch 3 ss 1** - which says that all monopolistic arrangements of ANYTHING within the jurisdiction of the anglo law are illegal and invalid.
22. The Aboriginal laws are slowly being recognized (not yet in a racist paradise as Queensland is) as can be evidenced by the existence of 'KOORI COURT' in Victoria (which has miniscule Aboriginal population compared with Queensland) and by politicians/stooges starting every public speech with the statement 'I recognize traditional owners'
23. The only response by Wilson to the Magna Carta issue of un-equality of lawyers compared with other people and the validity of Aboriginal laws - was calling it merely 'a historical document'(53). Where are the 'legal arguments'?????
24. Wilson at (51) - '*the ICCPR is an international treaty that is not directly enforceable by Australian courts.*' This is an amazing statement for a lawyer, the only excuse being that he is a Queensland lawyer.
'TREATY' between countries is a form of contract. It conveys legal obligation on parties and it has been incorporated directly into domestic laws in Australia therefore it is enforceable.
25. Another offensive comment is in (64) where he says – 'he has learnt nothing from those decisions and judgements'. Ohhh, Big Momma or Big Daddy say something (one sided opinions and explanations) and I do not listen? Such a patronizing statement implying that I have to pay attention to lies and deceptions told by some morons to protect their Mafia friends. Implying that I have to accept being insignificant 'second class citizen' and do not even dream about equality, justice and truth.

Outline of Arguments
Markan v Bar Association of Queensland
CA NUMBER: 8847/2014

Name: Peter Markan
Address:


Phone:
Email: justiceaction@queenslandinstitute.org

26. Legal systems in all civilized countries in the world are created to protect the society, laws and also human rights – which are considered to be the basis of the social order in a community. This rotten Queensland system only pretends to be a system like others when in reality it is the biggest abuser of human rights and laws they claim they are protecting.
27. Another indication how that pretentious, rotten system really operates can be illustrated by the episode of BAQ lawyers applying for the leave of court to lodge their application during the hearing on 30.07.2014 (transcript page 1-12 at 47). The leave was not granted then, but they made the application without having the leave of court, which is in breach of Vexatious Proceedings Act 2005 – section 5.2.
The leave of court to make the application was granted six weeks later on 15.09.2014. Another booboo by ‘judge’ and lawyers and hoping that no-one will notice that. If it is the repetition of unlawful applying ‘nunc pro tunc’ principle to protect Mafia friends it has not been stated so.
28. I will have to bother myself about his comments in relation to BAQ ‘request’ to declare me a ‘vexatious person’ which took 3 pages of his blabbing and climaxing (at 70) with the statement ‘**he (me) should be stopped**’.
29. ‘Stopped’ doing what – exposing criminal mafia?, law breakers?, depravity?, rottenness?, corruption?, discrimination?, abuse of human rights? – keep dreaming, boy !
This is just a childish attempt to silence me in the fight against **lawyers mafia**.
30. Personal comments of Wilson, drunk with the illusion of power as others are in this mini empire, are revealing as the indication of the attitude of an employee of Queensland Supreme Court. They do not have any legal bearing as he does not have the right to be a judge in any court case involving me and my conduct.
31. From a legal point of view his selection to the Supreme Court team was unlawful, done in breach of existing valid laws – International and Australian. (**The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights; Magna Carta (1297) 25 Edw 1 c 29; Statute of Monopolies 1623 - 21 James 1 ch 3 ss 1; The Australian Constitution - articles 109 and 117**)
32. The court hearing was in breach of due process of law as guaranteed to me by
- Observance of Due Process of Law - Statute 1368 - Imperial Act 3
- Liberty of Subject (1354)
which are the valid laws in this State - IMPERIAL ACTS APPLICATION ACT 1984 Queensland Legislation.
33. In reality me and people like me, (normal people) do not have to commit any ‘crime’, even according to rubbery anglo laws, to be denied natural justice in this corrupt State. If you are not submissive and subservient and raise your head above the rubbish ‘they’ want you to be in – suddenly slave herders (police, judges, ‘authorities’) and vultures (lawyers) try to bully or terrorize you.
34. **As an Australian citizen I claim protection under Australian laws, and the Australian ‘authorities’ have duty of care to protect me (a law abiding citizen) from the abuse by wrongdoers. Ignorance of the law is no excuse!**

35. There are clearly missing links in the legal arrangements to qualify Queensland and Australia as the 'democratic country' - there is no separation of powers and **there is the hegemony of one single group of people in all areas of real power** - that group can be defined as 'anglos' and more specifically 'lawyers mafia'.
36. There is no mechanism to balance undue influence of that single group - there is no independent judiciary as one of the three pillars of true democracy - **legislature, administration and judiciary**. In fact, anglo and jewish Mafia members selected to top echelons of real power in the State are, almost openly, granted the privilege to be involved in corruption and depravity.
37. In 21st century Australia there is still in use the medieval, feudal concept of the creation of dogmas - centred around the concept of infallibility of anglo judges who are presumed to be never wrong, never stupid, never dishonest.
38. 'Chosen' people turn overnight from shifty, crooked, lying lawyers into modern day saints. Even the Catholic church makes more effort before declaring someone a 'saint' than this corrupted, cronyistic, pseudo legal system.
39. Justice must be seen and heard to be done. In this case the Supreme Court of Queensland did not provide me the opportunity for a fair hearing.

ORDERS SOUGHT –

40. I request the Supreme Court to pronounce the 'order' by Mr Wilson from 15.09.2014 in this matter (2980/2014) as null and void – not having any legal consequences, the verdict set aside and to order a new hearing.
41. I am requesting the Supreme Court to select a truly competent, independent and impartial arbiter, conforming to Australian and Internationally recognized standards, to preside over the court hearing against the Bar Association of Queensland.

Signed: 

Applicant *PETER MARKAN*

Dated: 17.10.2014