

SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND

REGISTRY : Brisbane

NUMBER : 6041/13

Plaintiff:

Peter Markan

AND

Defendant:

Bar Association of Queensland

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

This claim in this proceeding is made in reliance on the following facts:

1. As the result of the understanding between Bar Association of Queensland and myself, where the parties have reached an agreement by virtue of their conduct, I provided to them **the service of ‘public ridicule’ and ‘public humiliation’**.
2. The service was provided in honest, fair and reasonable manner according to law and due process. It was done exercising my own forensic judgement consistently with applicable rules of conduct and my over-riding duty to the justice.
3. It had great benefit for the Defendant – as the result the term ‘queensland barrister’ became a byword, a synonym with a half-wit person, an ignoramus without much knowledge but full of pretentious pomposity about himself.
4. As the indication of the success of the service, provided to Bar Association of Queensland, is the fact that many parents are warning their children to go to school and study or otherwise ‘you will become queensland barrister’!
5. They asked for it – and they got it! I provided to them the required and much needed service, however when it came to honouring my Invoice as the payment for the service provided the problem started.
6. **Do they seriously think that I have done it for nothing!**
7. In fact they got 2 services for the price of one and instead of appreciating my generosity and good heart – I am faced with disrespect and contempt.
8. Comparing the fees charged by many barristers for doing next to nothing with my very reasonable rates of **very unique and valuable work** (if I was not modest I would call it ‘ART’) it is apparent that queensland barristers received cost effective and results effective service.
9. Absolutely ingenious idea was utilized in that service creating so called ‘public awareness’ with likelihood that people (potential clients) would find it hard to believe that those queensland barristers (‘THE HORRIBLES’) could be so bad and out of curiosity and necessity and desperation would pay UPFRONT untold thousands of dollars to this narcissistic caste of high priest of oligarchical system.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff (s)

Form 16, Version 2

Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999

Rules 22, 146

Name: Peter Markan

Address:

Phone:

Email: justiceaction@gmx.com

10. When people (clients) find out that this is true and queensland barristers are the worst of the kind – it is too late to do anything or get the money back, because once it is paid into ‘trust account’ it is gone. BINGO !

11. As the plan ‘B’ it could be also claimed that queensland barristers understood the wrong they have done to the society and are going to be resurrected as good citizens through the process of redemption.

12. Their profits will be enormously engorged also due to the fact that the Corporation known as ‘State of Queensland’ is building huge new prison, which will DOUBLE the total capacity of ALL prisons in Queensland, and is intending to charge and imprison people left, right and centre under any pretext.

13. All those people will need ‘legal representation’ in courts which will be provided by queensland barristers (who else?) – therefore the scheme is a big money spinner for them.

14. Another reason given to me was that queensland barristers enjoy being abused, cursed and swore at because they get sexual satisfaction from it. Although it sounded to me weird, kinky and depraved I kept it to myself because you do not say such things to clients.

15. On 2 documented occasions (12.06.2013 and 26.06.2013) in clear terms I asked the Defendant to fulfil their obligations and to pay the Invoice .
On both occasions there was no response from the Defendant.

16. By failing to perform without a legitimate excuse their legal obligation of paying the money due to me they breached the law resulting in legal consequences of this claim.

17. It has to be noted that the Defendant cynically claims to possess ‘professional’ knowledge of the laws in this country , therefore there are no mitigating elements of unconscious conduct due to the lack of awareness – on the contrary ! – it is deliberate and premeditated action.

18. The Defendant has been involved in unlawful act indicating gross malice and ill will, in defiance of laws and crossing the red line beyond the range of acceptable human behaviour.

19. The Plaintiff is:

- aggrieved,
- outraged,
- feels offended and vilified by such behaviour,
- objects to being exposed to such conduct,
- his private rights and interests have been (or will be) adversely affected by the wrong done and
- makes this claim relying on the provisions of law:
 - “Competition and Consumer Act 2010“ - Schedule 2-The Australian Consumer Laws - s 10-1, 18, 20, 21-4b, 224-1a1,2;
 - “Fair Trading Act 1989“ – ss 92, 93, 95;

STATEMENT OF CLAIM
Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff (s)
Form 16, Version 2
Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999
Rules 22, 146

Name: Peter Markan
Address:

Phone:
Email: justiceaction@gmx.com

The plaintiff claims the following relief:

1. Due to the severity of the unlawful conduct the Plaintiff demands that the Defendant makes the public apology for the harm and the distress caused utilizing all major public media available in a clear and highly visible manner.
2. Due to cold and calculated criminal conduct and the unrepentant attitude by the Defendant the Plaintiff request the Court to consider issuing the recommendation that the people associated with Bar Association of Queensland to be sent to re-education facilities where they will be subjected to hard physical labour to instil in them the respect for other people in the community.
3. The Plaintiff asks the court to order the Defendant to pay the Invoice as presented of A\$ 11 000 000.13 (eleven million Australian dollars and thirteen cents) (exactly and approximately)
4. The Plaintiff reserves the right to add to this claim the legal costs and others suffered in the course of pursuing this claim and the interest at the commercial rate. I am in the process of creating the algorithm for the calculation of the interest on the money due. However initial calculation, looking at the array of the derivative of the variance between parameters and arguments of the difference between queensland barristers stupidity and their arrogance, shows values unbelievably huge and requires further testing.

The plaintiff elects trial by jury .

Signed:

Description: *Plaintiff*

Dated: 03.07.2013

NOTICE AS TO DEFENCE

Your defence must be attached to your notice of intention to defend.

NOTICE UNDER RULE 150(3)

The plaintiff claims:

\$ 11 000 000.13 (eleven million Australian dollars and 13 cents)

\$ 0 (provisional) for interest; and

\$ 0 (provisional) for costs of issuing the claim and this statement of claim.

The proceeding ends if you pay those amounts before the time for filing your notice of intention to defend ends. If you are in default by not filing a notice of intention to defend within the time allowed, the plaintiff is entitled to claim additional costs of \$(reserved the right to calculate at a later date) costs of entering judgment in default.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM
 Filed on Behalf of the Plaintiff (s)
 Form 16, Version 2
 Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999
 Rules 22, 146

Name: Peter Markan
 Address:
 Phone:
 Email: justiceaction@gmx.com