

The information about my persecution

1. On 15 SEPTEMBER 2014 one ALAN WILSON J, illegitimately hearing the case 2980 of 2014 (Markan v Bar Association of Queensland (No 3) [2014] QSC 225) declared me as 'vexatious person' under provisions of Vexatious Proceedings Act 2005 (Qld)
 2. That action was a desperate attempt by the criminal, lawyers Mafia to prevent their filthy conduct and manipulation of democratic institutions to be made public
 3. In my fight against those criminals I am pointing out the following issues
 - the lack of respect for the human rights in Queensland;
 - racist attitude, discrimination and vilification of people who are not lawyers and not of anglo origin and who represent themselves in courts;
 - denial of the protection by law to those people;
 - treatment of those people by 'public institutions' and courts as SECOND CLASS CITIZENS
 - enacting of concealed dictatorship when an opinion of an unelected individual is claimed to be binding to 22 million of free people in Australia (so called 'authorities' in legal proceedings)
 - creating of TOTALITARIAN REGIME consisting of lawyers who, through stooges infected various democratic institutions and courts, are controlling Australian society as self-professed 'master breed'
 4. I am not recognizing that corrupt, rotten, perfidious, feudal, anglo, pseudo 'legal system' as legitimate or fair and just legal system complying with International Standards existing in civilized societies.
 5. I am not recognizing the lawfulness or the legality of my discrimination and my persecution by the criminal mafia controlling the 'legal system' in the State of Queensland.
 6. By initiating and conducting my persecution barristers and their stooges with the court system are in breach of valid Queensland and Australian laws and also in the breach of:
 - The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights articles 2, 3, 25, 26
 - It is included in the Federal Legislation
 - Australian Human Rights Commission Act 1986 – Schedule 2
 - And also in State legislation in Victoria and ACT
 - Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006 - VICTORIA
 - Human Right Act 2004 – ACT
- and by the virtue of Australian Constitution articles :
- 117 Rights of residents in States and
 - 109 Inconsistency of laws
- are valid laws and I am entitled to the protection as the Australian Citizen.

7. My persecution as the anti-mafia campaigner is also in breach of
Anti-Discrimination Act 1991
Part 2 Prohibited grounds of discrimination
7 Discrimination on the basis of certain attributes prohibited
(g) race;
(h) impairment;
(j) political belief or activity;
(p) association with, or relation to, a person identified on the basis of any of the
above attributes.
8. As the practical implication I am denied of my natural right to protect my rights
and interest using anglo legal system which deprives me the ‘equality under the
law’ and openly and publically humiliates me as a ‘third grade citizen’ of this
country forbidden to defend myself using civil, peaceful and legal methods against
wrongdoers.
9. I have legal, lawful and legitimate case against Natalie Barber –
Director/Registrar, SPER – for the breach of contract and I am unable to pursue my
interests using anglo legal system in spite of being the Australian citizen.
10. On 06.07.2015 I had the hearing of my application in the Supreme Court of Qld
for the right to pursue that matter in court. The hearing was by a stooge of lawyers
Mafia - one Peter James Flanagan.
As the current, formal member of my main adversaries organization - Bar
Association of Queensland – seconded to the Supreme Court as their stooge, he
abused my human rights and discriminated against me by rejecting my application.
11. This was done with blatant display of disrespect to the ‘legal system’ he is
supposed to represent.
- eg.
I found that in the case ‘Fung v Tam & Anor [2012] QCA 10’ judges using
their classical ‘abra cadabra’ rules declared that lawyers do not have to have
‘a leave of court’ to lodge an application **even though the legislation**
(parliament act) specifically demands that a leave has to be obtained.
Therefore I asked Flanagan to do the same – to declare that **I also do not need**
‘a leave of court’ to make my application against SPER.
He showed me discrimination by not applying the same abra cadabra rule for
my benefit and that precedence seems to be for lawyers only.
 - I showed in the affidavit documents that all necessary elements (according to
anglo laws) for the formulation of legal and lawful contract were there and my
case against SPER is a legitimate, legal and lawful action for the breach of
contract which was created according to law.
That contract has all necessary elements according to law –
 - offer and acceptance which created the agreement,
 - intention to enter in legal relations, awareness of legal consequences
-

- and consideration – an exchange of benefits
He did not accept that and he rejected my application.

12. So, my question to you is – are you going to do something about this blatant case of discrimination and the abuse of human rights or you intend to participate in it by remaining silent.

Peter Markan

The copies of relevant documents are also on
http://www.queenslandinstitute.org/h_sper.html